Dedekind sums in the p-adic number field

Kurt Girstmair

Abstract

In a recent note W. Kohnen asks whether the values of Dedekind sums are dense in the field of p-adic numbers. The present paper answers this question. Dedekind sums do not approximate units of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3$, so they are not dense in $\mathbb{Q}_2$ or $\mathbb{Q}_3$. But they are dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ if $p \geq 5$.

arXiv:1609.03162v2 [math.NT] 18 Sep 2016

1. Introduction and results

Let n be a natural number, m an integer, $(m,n)=1$. The classical Dedekind sum $s(m,n)$ is defined by

$$s(m,n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}((k/n))((mk/n))$$

where $((\ldots))$ is the "sawtooth function" defined by

$$((t))=\begin{cases} t-\lfloor t\rfloor-1/2, & \text{if } t\in\mathbb{R}\smallsetminus\mathbb{Z};\\ 0, & \text{if } t\in\mathbb{Z}\end{cases}$$

(see, for instance, [5, p. 1]). In the present setting it is more convenient to work with

$$S(m,n)=12s(m,n)$$

instead. Since $S(m+n,n)=S(m,n)$, we obtain all Dedekind sums if we restrict m to the range $0\leq m<n$.

For a prime p, let $\mathbb{Q}_p$ denote the field of p-adic numbers, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ the ring of p-adic integers, and $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

It is well-known that the values of Dedekind sums are dense in the field of real numbers (see [2, 1, 3]). In the paper [3], W. Kohnen asks whether the values of Dedekind sums are dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We answer this question as follows.

Theorem 1 *The set of Dedekind sums is dense neither in $\mathbb{Q}_2$ nor in $\mathbb{Q}_3$, but it is dense in each $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $p\geq 5$.*

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorems 2–4 below. We shall use the following terminology: Let $a\in\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let M be a subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We say that M *approximates* a (in $\mathbb{Q}_p$), if for every integer $k\geq 1$ there is an element $x\in M$ and an element $b\in\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$a=x+p^k b.$$

In the case $M=\{S(m,n): n\in\mathbb{N}, 0\leq m<n-1, (m,n)=1\}$, we simply say that *Dedekind sums approximate* a (in $\mathbb{Q}_p$).

Theorem 2 *Dedekind sums do not approximate any $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for $p = 2, 3$.*

So the answer to Kohnen's question is negative for $p = 2, 3$. The following result says which p-adic integers are approximated by Dedekind sums.

Theorem 3 *In the cases $p = 2, 3$, Dedekind sums approximate each $a \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$.*
If $p \geq 5$, Dedekind sums approximate each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Finally, we deal with the approximation of numbers in $\mathbb{Q}_p \smallsetminus \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem 4 *Let $q > 1$ be a power of p. Then Dedekind sums approximate each $a \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.*

Theorems 2–4 obviously imply Theorem 1.

2. Proofs

Theorem 2 is a consequence of the following lemma. Observe that $nS(m, n)$ is an integer (see [5, p. 27, Th. 2]).

Lemma 1 *Let n be a natural number, m an integer, $(m, n) = 1$.*
(a) *If n is odd, then $nS(m, n) \equiv 0 \mod 2$.*
(b) *If $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, then $nS(m, n) \equiv 0 \mod 4$.*
(c) *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, then $nS(m, n) \equiv 2 \mod 4$.*
(d) *If $n \not\equiv 0 \mod 3$, then $nS(m, n) \equiv 0 \mod 3$.*
(e) *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$, then $nS(m, n) \not\equiv 0 \mod 3$.*

Proof. Assertions (a) and (d) are immediate consequences of the aforementioned Theorem 2 in [5] and have been used by various authors (see, e.g., [6, formula (69)]). Assertion (e) is a weaker form of formula (70) in the said paper [6]. Probably assertions (b) and (c) are also known, but we do not know an appropriate reference. Hence we give a short proof.

Since $n > 1$, we may assume that m is in the range $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. The reciprocity law for Dedekind sums (see [5, p. 5]) says

$$mnS(m, n) + mnS(n, m) = m^2 + n^2 + 1 - 3mn.$$

It is easy to check that the right-hand side is $\equiv 0 \mod 4$ if $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, and $\equiv 2 \mod 4$ if $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$. Moreover, $mS(n, m) \equiv 0 \mod 2$, by (a). Accordingly, $mnS(n, m) \equiv 0 \mod 4$ if n is even. This shows

$$mnS(m, n) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \mod 4, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod 4; \\ 2 \mod 4, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

Since m is odd, the assertions (b), (c) follow. □

Proof of Theorem 2. First let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ and suppose that there is a natural number n and an m with $(m, n) = 1$ such that

$$u = S(m, n) + 4b \tag{1}$$

with $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then

$$nu = nS(m,n) + 4nb. \tag{2}$$

By assertion (a) of Lemma 1, the right-hand side of (2) is divisible by 2 if n is odd, whereas 2 does not divide nu in this case. If $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$, assertion (b) says that the right-hand side of (2) is $\equiv 0 \mod 4$, but nu is not divisible by 4. If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, nu and $4nb$ are divisible by 4, whereas $nS(m,n) \equiv 2 \mod 4$, by (c). Hence (2) and (1) are impossible. This settles the case $p = 2$ of Theorem 2.

The argument in the case $p = 3$ is similar. Suppose that

$$u = S(m,n) + 3b \tag{3}$$

holds with $u \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\times$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then

$$nu = nS(m,n) + 3nb. \tag{4}$$

If 3 does not divide n, the right-hand side of (4) is divisible by 3, by assertion (d) of Lemma 1. However, 3 does not divide nu. If 3 divides n, then nu and $3nb$ are divisible by 3, whereas $nS(m,n) \not\equiv 0 \mod 3$, by (e). Therefore, (4) and (3) are impossible. Hence the theorem holds for $p = 3$. □

Lemma 2 *Let p be a prime.*
(a) *Let $q > 1$ be a power of p, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p \nmid r$, and $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the set*

$$\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \ l \equiv s \mod q, \ l \equiv t \mod r\}$$

approximates each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \equiv s \mod q$ (in $\mathbb{Q}_p$).
(b) *If r is as in* (a) *and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set $\{l \in \mathbb{N}; l \equiv t \mod r\}$ approximates each element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*
(c) *If $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ approximates each element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, so does $uM = \{ux : x \in M\}$, where u is an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.*
(d) *If $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ approximates each element of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, so does $uM = \{ux : x \in M\}$, where u is an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.*

Proof. In order to prove (a), let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \equiv s \mod q$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $q \,|\, p^k$. By the Chinese remainder theorem, there is an $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \equiv j \mod p^k$, $l \equiv t \mod r$. Then $l \equiv s \mod q$ and $j = l + p^k w$ for an integer w. Hence (a) follows. As to (b), let $j, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given. By (a), the set $\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv j \mod p, l \equiv t \mod r\}$ approximates j (in $\mathbb{Q}_p$). Hence $\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv t \mod r\}$ approximates each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, assertion (b) follows. Further, if M approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then uM approximates each ua, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Because $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, ua takes all values in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Assertion (d) follows in the same way. □

Lemma 3 *Let $q > 1$ be a power of the prime p and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \nmid m$. Put $n = q(m^2 + 1)$. Then*

$$S(m,n) = \frac{q^2 - 1}{q} m + S(m,q). \tag{5}$$

Proof. We apply the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums twice. First,

$$S(m,n) = -S(n,m) + \frac{n}{m} + \frac{m}{n} + \frac{1}{nm} - 3. \tag{6}$$

Since $n \equiv q \mod m$, we have

$$S(n,m) = S(q,m) = -S(m,q) + \frac{q}{m} + \frac{m}{q} + \frac{1}{qm} - 3. \tag{7}$$

Inserting the right-hand side of (7) in (6) and replacing n by $q(m^2+1)$ yields the assertion. □

Of course, the values of $S(m,q)$ in Lemma 3 are known for $q = 2, 3, 5$. We note the final form of Lemma 3 for these cases, which will be needed below.

Lemma 4 *Let m be a natural number.*
(a) *If m is odd and $n = 2(m^2+1)$, then*

$$S(m,n) = \frac{3m}{2}. \tag{8}$$

(b) *If $3 \nmid m$ and $n = 3(m^2+1)$, then*

$$S(m,n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}(4m+1), & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \mod 3; \\ \frac{2}{3}(4m-1), & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \mod 3. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

(c) *If $5 \nmid m$ and $n = 5(m^2+1)$, then*

$$S(m,n) = \begin{cases} \frac{12}{5}(2m+1), & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \mod 5; \\ \frac{12}{5}(2m-1), & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \mod 5; \\ \frac{24}{5}m, & \text{if } m \equiv 2,3 \mod 5. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. For the time being, let M denote the set of odd natural numbers. First we deal with the case $p \geq 5$. For $m \in M$ and $n = 2(m^2+1)$, (8) gives $S(m,n) = 3m/2$. Hence Dedekind sums take all values in $3M/2$. By Lemma 2, (b), the set M approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since 2 and 3 are in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, Lemma 2, (c) says that $3M/2$ approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; and so do Dedekind sums.

If $p = 3$, we observe that M approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Since $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\times$, $M/2$ also approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, hence $3M/2$ approximates each $a \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$. Again, this also holds for the set of Dedekind sums.

The case $p = 2$ is more complicated. First let l be an odd natural number with additional properties specified in the following. Put

$$m = \begin{cases} (l-1)/4, & \text{if } l \equiv 1 \mod 4,\ l \equiv 2 \mod 3; \\ (l+1)/4, & \text{if } l \equiv 3 \mod 4,\ l \equiv 1 \mod 3 \end{cases}$$

and put $n = 3(m^2+1)$. Then (9) gives $S(m,n) = 2l/3$ in both cases. By Lemma 2, (a), the set $\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv 1 \mod 4, l \equiv 2 \mod 3\}$ approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \equiv 1$

mod 4 (in $\mathbb{Q}_2$). Further, $\{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv 3 \mod 4, l \equiv 1 \mod 3\}$ approximates each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Since the set M of odd natural numbers is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, the union $N = \{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv 1 \mod 4, l \equiv 2 \mod 3\} \cup \{l \in \mathbb{N} : l \equiv 3 \mod 4, l \equiv 1 \mod 3\}$ approximates each element of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. By Lemma 2, (d), $N/3$ approximates each a in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. Accordingly, $2N/3$ approximates each $a \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, and so do Dedekind sums.

Next let l be an odd natural number, $l \equiv 3 \mod 5$. Then $m = (l-1)/2$ is a natural number $\equiv 1 \mod 5$. We put $n = 5(m^2+1)$. Now (10) gives $S(m,n) = 12l/5$. Hence we know that Dedekind sums take all values $12l/5$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, l odd, $l \equiv 3 \mod 5$. By Lemma 2, (a), these numbers l approximate each odd integer (in $\mathbb{Q}_2$), and, thus, each element of $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. Since 3 and 5 are in $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, the numbers $12l/5$ approximate each element of $4\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, and so do Dedekind sums.

In the final step we use that, by (10), Dedekind sums take all values $24m/5$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \equiv 2 \mod 5$, and $n = 5(m^2+1)$ (observe that m need not be odd). By our above arguments, Dedekind sums approximate each $a \in 8\mathbb{Z}_2$. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 5 *Let $q > 1$ be a power of the prime p, $r \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$, $p \nmid r$, and let $r^* \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ be defined by $rr^* \equiv 1 \mod q$. Then Dedekind sums take all values l/q, where l is a natural number and*

$$l \equiv r^* \mod q, \quad l \equiv qS(r,q) \mod q^2 - 1. \tag{11}$$

Proof. First we note

$$qS(r,q) \equiv r + r^* \mod q. \tag{12}$$

This is well-known, but for the sake of convenience we give a short proof. The reciprocity law yields

$$rqS(r,q) = -rqS(q,r) + r^2 + q^2 + 1 - 3rq.$$

Now $rS(q,r)$ is an integer, and so $rqS(q,r) \equiv 0 \mod q$. Accordingly,

$$rqS(r,q) \equiv r^2 + 1 \mod q,$$

and from $rr^* \equiv 1 \mod q$ we obtain (12).

Let m be a natural number, $m \equiv r \mod q$, and put $n = q(m^2+1)$. Then (5) says

$$S(m,n) = \frac{q^2-1}{q}m + S(r,q). \tag{13}$$

Accordingly, $qS(m,n)$ is an integer, and $qS(m,n) \equiv (q^2-1)m + qS(r,q) \equiv -m + r + r^* \mod q$, by (12). Since $m \equiv r \mod q$, we obtain $qS(m,n) \equiv r^* \mod q$. On the other hand, (13) yields $qS(m,n) \equiv qS(r,q) \mod q^2 - 1$. Hence $S(m,n)$ takes the form $S(m,n) = l/q$, where the integer l has the properties of (11).

Conversely, we show that for each natural number l with the properties of (11) we obtain l/q as the value of a Dedekind sum. To this end we put

$$m = \frac{l - qS(r,q)}{q^2-1}. \tag{14}$$

Since $l \equiv qS(r,q) \mod q^2 - 1$, m is an integer. Further,

$$(q^2-1)m \equiv -m \equiv l - qS(r,q) \equiv r^* - (r + r^*) \mod q,$$

by (12), and so $m \equiv r \mod q$. If $S(r,q) \leq 0$, then m is positive. If $S(r,q) > 0$, we observe

$$S(r,q) \leq S(1,q) = q - 3 + \frac{2}{q} \leq q - 2$$

(see [4, Satz 2]). Therefore, $0 < qS(r,q) < q^2 - 1$. Since the natural number l is $\equiv qS(r,q) \mod q^2 - 1$, l must be $\geq qS(r,q)$. However, $l = qS(r,q)$ is impossible, since $l - qS(r,q) \equiv -r \mod q$, and $(r,q) = 1$. Accordingly, m is a natural number, $m \equiv r \mod q$. If we put $n = q(m^2+1)$, we obtain (13), which, by (14), is reduced to $S(m,n) = l/q$. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \nmid r$. By Lemma 5, Dedekind sums take all values l/q, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \equiv r^* \mod q$, $l \equiv qS(r,q) \mod q^2 - 1$. The set of these numbers l, however, approximates each number j/q, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \equiv r^* \mod q$ (in $\mathbb{Q}_p$), by Lemma 2, (a). If we vary $r \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$, $p \nmid r$, we see that Dedekind sums approximate all numbers j/q, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \nmid j$ (in $\mathbb{Q}_p$). But the set of these numbers j/q is dense in $\frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. □

References

[1] K. Girstmair, Approximation of rational numbers by Dedekind sums, Int. J. Number Theory 10 (2014), 1241–1244.

[2] D. Hickerson, Continued fractions and density results for Dedekind sums, J. Reine Angew. Math. 290 (1977), 113–116.

[3] W. Kohnen, A short note on Dedekind sums, to appear.

[4] H. Rademacher, Zur Theorie der Dedekindschen Summen, Math. Z. 63 (1956), 445–463.

[5] H. Rademacher, E. Grosswald, Dedekind sums, Mathematical Association of America, 1972.

[6] H. Salié, Zum Wertevorrat der Dedekindschen Summen, Math. Z. 72 (1959), 61–75.

Kurt Girstmair
Institut für Mathematik
Universität Innsbruck
Technikerstr. 13/7
A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria
Kurt.Girstmair@uibk.ac.at